



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,553	02/07/2002	Bruce Plotnick	4665/9	3446	
56015	7590 12/29/2005		EXAM	INER	
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/			LONSBERRY	LONSBERRY, HUNTER B	
	ENT SERVICES, LLC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE			TAI EN NOMBER	
SUITE 100			2611		
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/072,553	PLOTNICK, BRUCE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hunter B. Lonsberry	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	Sentember 2005					
	<i>,</i> —					
• •	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 19-25</u> is/are pending in the						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 19-25</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 19-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7///</u> 0 ろ	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/072,553

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/7/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Kikinis fails to teach sending a reminder marking to a STB that stores a remote EPG, during synchronization between the local EPG on the PDAT and the remote EPG on the STB, the synchronization being preformed only after it is determined that the PDA is in direct communication with the STT, and that only the remote broadcaster server 135 delivers information to the STB through a series of links and devices (amendment pages 8-9).

Regarding applicant's argument, Kikinis discloses the use of the Bluetooth wireless communications protocol to transmit information directly to the STB without communicating with server 135 (paragraphs 35, 38-39), thus Kikinis does teach a synchronization step between the STB and PDA's EPG's as the PDA/STB utilize a Bluetooth link to transmit data to one another.

The examiner acknowledges applicant's amendments to the specification.

Applicants failure to traverse the official notices taken in the previous office action are taken as admission of prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-5 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application 2002/0010925 A1 to Kikinis.

Regarding claim 1, Kikinis discloses a method (paragraphs 26, 29-31) comprising:

Providing at least program listing for browsing and for selecting a given program listing (paragraph 30), the program listings presented on the personal digital assistant through the use of a local electronic program guide stored on the PDA (paragraph 7, 25-26, 30, 32, 34, 39, the PDA is coupled to the STB via both a network 154 and link 152, further the EPG is implemented on the PDA so a local copy of the EPG must be stored);

Receiving by the PDA a reminder marking for a program that is not currently being aired (paragraphs 7,30, 35-, 38-40, users may transmit copies of an EPG to one

another and program the EPG, communications with STB may use the Bluetooth protocol;

Sending the reminder marking to a STB 205 that stores a remote EPG, during synchronization between the local EPG on the PDA 220 and the remote EPG on the STB, the synchronization being preformed only after it is determined that the PDA is in direct communication with the PDA (paragraphs 35, 36, 38-40, user connects with the remote EPG at the STB to program their selections, a Bluetooth connection may be utilized to directly couple the STB and the PDA)

Regarding claim 2, Kikinis discloses that input device 220 (PDA) maybe be used to make viewing selections (paragraph 30, 35, 36).

Regarding claim 3, Kikinis discloses that input device 160/220, may be used to make a program recording selection in order to record a program at its air time, and that the remote program guide transmits this data to the local program guide (paragraphs 7. 16, 30, 31) in order to synchronize a users EPG running on PDA 220 with the EPG running on STB 205 (paragraphs 38-40) and enable recording (paragraph 20, 31).

Regarding claims 4 and 25, Kikinis discloses that input device 160/220 running an EPG, may be used to make a recording selection and transmits a plurality of parameters (start and end times, paragraph 31) to the recording device 115, the

Application/Control Number: 10/072,553

Art Unit: 2611

parameters to instruct the device to record the program, paragraphs 7, 16, 30-31, and 41).

Regarding claim 5, Kikinis discloses that input device 160/220 is used to make recording selections, and that the EPG instructs recording device 115 as to when it should record a program (paragraph 30-31 start and end times).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8, 9, 14, 19, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application 2002/0010925 A1 to Kikinis.

Regarding claims 8, 19, and 23, Kikinis discloses a system (paragraphs 26, 29-31 comprising:

A local EPG for presenting a plurality of program listings for browsing and receiving a reminder marking (STB 205, paragraphs 21, 30, 35-36, 38-40)

a mobile computing device 220 having local storage the for storing a local electronic program guide (paragraphs 26, 29, 30, 35, 36, local storage is required in order for a user to display, interact and transmit selections to another device and Kikinis teaches that the EPG on the PDA performs all the PEG functions), the mobile computing device operative to receive the program guide data, which is presented by the local electronic program guide (paragraphs 26, 29, 30), the local program guide further operative to receive input to set a recording mark or a future program reminder (paragraphs 30-31); and

wherein the reminder marking is sent to a STB 205 that stores a remote EPG from the PDA, during synchronization between the local EPG on the PDA 220 and the remote EPG on the STB, the synchronization being preformed only after it is determined that the PDA is in direct communication with the PDA (paragraphs 35, 36, 38-40, user connects with the remote EPG at the STB to program their selections, a Bluetooth connection may be utilized to directly couple the STB and the PDA)

Kikinis fails to disclose a program-listing server but does disclose that broadcast server 135 may transmit recording instructions (paragraph 31) and that the PDA is coupled to the network 125 (figure 1).

The examiner takes official notice that the use of a server, which transmits EPG information to a remote device via a network, is notoriously well known in the art.

Utilizing an EPG server allows EPG updates to be rapidly distributed to EPG enabled devices from a single point.

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Kikinis to utilize an EPG listing server, thus enabling rapid updates of EPG information from a single point.

Regarding claim 9, Kikinis discloses that the mobile computing device may be a PDA (paragraph 26).

Regarding claim 14, Kikinis discloses that the PDA synchronizes the recoding command with a recoding device 115 (paragraphs 30-31).

Regarding claim 21, Kikinis discloses that input device 160/220, may be used to make a program recording selection in order to record a program at its air time, and that the remote program guide transmits this data to the local program guide (paragraphs 7, 16, 30, 31) in order to synchronize a users EPG running on PDA 220 with the EPG running on STB 205 (paragraphs 38-40) and enable recording (paragraph 20, 31).

4. Claims 6, 7, 10, 11, 20, 22 and 24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application 2002/0010925 A1 to Kikinis in view of U.S. Patent Application 2002/0133821 to Shteyn.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,553

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claims 6, 10, 11, 22, Kikinis discloses a use of a PDA 220, which displays EPG info and sets program reminders (paragraphs 26-27, 30, 35-36).

Kikinis fails to disclose marking a reminder in a PDA calendar application.

Shteyn discloses a PDA, which interfaces with an EPG (paragraph 4) and a PDA calendar application in order to allow a user to plan their schedule(paragraphs 15-17 and 21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Kikinis to place a reminder in a PDA calendar application as taught by Shteyn, thus enabling a user to better plan their viewing activities.

Regarding claims 7, 20 and 24, Kikinis discloses a use of a PDA 220, which displays EPG info and sets program reminders (paragraphs 26-27, 30, 35-36).

Kikinis and Shteyn are silent regarding issuing an alert when a program is scheduled to air.

The examiner takes official notice that generating alerts for a reminded program when it is about to air and generating alerts on a PDA is notoriously well known in the art. Alerts allow a user to remember to tune to a program, and alerts on a PDA remind a user when an activity is supposed to take place.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify the combination of Kikinis and Shteyn to generate an alert before a program is to air, thus reminding a user to tune to a program which they desired to view.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,553 Page 9

Art Unit: 2611

5. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application 2002/0010925 A1 to Kikinis in view of U.S. Patent 5,699,107 to Lawler.

Regarding claim 12, Kikinis discloses a use of a PDA 220, which displays EPG info and sets program reminders (paragraphs 26-27, 30, 35-36).

Kikinis fails to disclose the contents of the reminder, including channel, program title, start time and end time.

Lawler discloses a reminder system in which a reminder includes the channel, program title, and start time (figure 9), stores timeslot information (column 11, lines 57-67, column 12, lines 44-63) and displays an icon in the EPG (column 13, lines 7-16), thus enabling a user to recognize programs for which a reminder has been previously set.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Kikinis to store the channel, program title, start time and end time by associating the reminder with a timeslot, and displaying a reminder icon, as taught by Lawler, thus enabling a user to recognize programs for which a reminder has been previously set.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,553 Page 10

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 13, Lawler discloses the use of a calendar (figure 6, date 104), and issues an alert when a reminded program is about to begin (figure 9, column 12, lines 51-63).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hunter B. Lonsberry whose telephone number is 571-272-7298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on 571-272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Huh Lay